

REVIEW



Developing surgical technologies with nanorobots

Huzeyfe Farouq

Department of General Surgery, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancements in nanotechnology have brought forth novel tools and techniques that are fundamentally reshaping medical diagnostics and therapeutics. Among the most significant developments is the integration of nanorobots into surgical instruments, offering the potential to dramatically enhance the precision, safety, and effectiveness of surgical interventions. Nanorobots are nanoscale devices designed to perform controlled, task-specific actions within biological environments. When coupled with modern surgical tools, these devices provide enhanced capabilities such as targeted tissue manipulation, localized drug delivery, real-time diagnostics, and micro-scale repairs at the cellular or subcellular level. Unlike conventional surgical instruments limited by human dexterity and macroscopic access, nanorobots operate on a molecular scale, enabling minimally invasive procedures in previously unreachable anatomical regions. The integration process involves embedding nanorobotic systems within traditional instruments like endoscopes, catheters, and laparoscopes, or designing standalone nanorobots that can be navigated autonomously within the human body. These devices are guided through sophisticated external systems such as magnetic fields, acoustic waves, or biochemical gradients, and are often equipped with biosensors for intraoperative monitoring and feedback. Applications of nanorobots in surgery are diverse, including oncology, cardiovascular, neurological, ophthalmic, and orthopedic procedures. They offer unique advantages such as reduced tissue trauma, precise targeting, decreased recovery times, and minimized systemic side effects, thus contributing to improved patient outcomes. As technological capabilities expand and interdisciplinary collaborations strengthen, nanorobots are poised to play an increasingly vital role in the future of surgical practice, ushering in a new era of highly personalized, efficient, and minimally invasive medical care.

KEYWORDS

Nanorobots; Minimally invasive surgery; Targeted drug delivery; Biocompatibility; Artificial intelligence integration

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 02 January 2025;
Revised 23 January 2025;
Accepted 03 February 2025

Introduction

Nanotechnology has emerged as a cornerstone of 21st-century medicine, profoundly influencing diagnostics, therapeutics, and surgical interventions. One of its most compelling innovations is the development of nanorobots, which are nanoscale machines capable of performing intricate tasks within biological environments. Typically ranging between 1 to 100 nanometers, these devices are designed with the ability to interact with biological molecules, cells, and tissues, offering unprecedented precision in medical applications. In the context of surgery, nanorobots are being integrated with traditional instruments or designed to function independently, performing tasks that were once impossible due to the limitations of human dexterity and the size constraints of conventional surgical tools [1].

The growing interest in integrating nanorobots with surgical instruments is driven by the increasing demand for minimally invasive procedures that can achieve better clinical outcomes with fewer complications. Unlike standard surgical techniques, which often involve significant tissue disruption, nanorobot-assisted interventions can reach previously inaccessible regions, operate with sub-micron precision, and significantly reduce postoperative morbidity. This integration represents more than an enhancement of existing robotic-assisted surgeries; it signifies a paradigm shift in how surgical procedures are conceptualized and executed, merging

the macro-scale control of conventional tools with the micro-scale capabilities of nanotechnology [2].

As research into nanorobotic systems progresses, the potential for developing multifunctional, biocompatible, and autonomous surgical nanorobots becomes increasingly feasible [3]. These systems offer solutions to current limitations in precision, control, and access in complex surgical environments. The purpose of this review is to provide a comprehensive overview of the integration of nanorobots into surgical instruments, exploring their applications, advantages, challenges, and future prospects based on current scientific evidence and technological developments.

Integration of Nanorobots in Surgical Instruments

Integrating nanorobots into surgical instruments involves the strategic fusion of nanoscale operational capabilities with existing medical tools used in minimally invasive and open surgeries [4]. This synergy allows surgeons to extend the functionality of conventional instruments beyond the limitations imposed by physical scale and human dexterity. Nanorobots can be affixed to the tips of laparoscopic, endoscopic, or catheter-based tools, or function as independent agents capable of navigating autonomously within the human body [5]. These devices perform targeted tasks such as

*Correspondence: Dr. Huzeyfe Farouq, Department of General Surgery, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey, e-mail: farouqhuze090@hotmail.com

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Reseapro Journals. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

site-specific drug delivery, micro-dissection, cellular manipulation, and nanoscale suturing.

One widely explored method involves magnetically guided nanorobots, which are directed using sophisticated external magnetic fields generated by arrays of electromagnets. These magnetic nanorobots can navigate through complex anatomical pathways, enabling precise interventions in confined or difficult-to-access regions such as cerebral vessels or coronary arteries. Thermal, chemical, and acoustic actuators are also used to activate nanoscale grippers, cutters, and probes capable of performing highly specific surgical tasks without causing collateral damage to adjacent tissues [6].

Recent advancements have introduced smart surgical platforms that incorporate real-time imaging, biosensing, and remote control systems, enabling seamless integration of nanorobots with existing operating room infrastructure. These platforms often use microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) and advanced imaging techniques such as MRI and optical coherence tomography to provide surgeons with high-resolution feedback and precise control during procedures [7]. The combination of nanorobots and MEMS devices is particularly advantageous in fields like ophthalmic and neurosurgery, where microscopic precision and gentle tissue handling are critical to preserving function.

Applications in Surgery

The incorporation of nanorobots into surgical practice spans a broad range of specialties, offering new tools for procedures that demand extreme precision and minimally invasive access. In oncology, nanorobots are being developed to selectively identify and excise malignant cells or deliver chemotherapeutic agents directly to tumor sites. These devices reduce damage to surrounding healthy tissues, significantly improving therapeutic outcomes and minimizing systemic toxicity [8]. Nanorobots can also be used to collect intraoperative biopsies or detect specific cancer biomarkers in real time, enhancing surgical accuracy and decision-making [9].

Cardiovascular surgery stands to benefit enormously from nanorobot-assisted interventions. Devices capable of navigating through blood vessels can be used to break down thrombi, repair microvascular damage, or deliver anticoagulants directly to the site of vascular injury [10]. Their use in delicate and otherwise inaccessible regions of the cardiovascular system reduces the need for open-heart surgery, thus decreasing patient risk and recovery time.

In neurosurgery, nanorobots facilitate operations within the brain and spinal cord, areas where precision is paramount and surgical error can have catastrophic consequences. These nanoscale devices enable targeted removal of tumors, blood clots, or lesions, as well as localized delivery of neuroprotective drugs [11]. Ophthalmic procedures, particularly those involving the retina or optic nerve, also benefit from nanorobotic tools capable of microscale dissection, drug delivery, or debris removal without damaging adjacent structures.

Orthopedic surgeries, especially those involving microfractures or intricate joint repairs, increasingly employ

nanorobots for targeted removal of diseased tissue, delivery of growth factors, or deposition of biomaterials for bone regeneration [12]. These interventions not only improve surgical accuracy but also promote faster recovery and reduced postoperative complications.

Advantages of Nanorobots in Surgical Instruments

The advantages of integrating nanorobots into surgical instruments are numerous, primarily centered around enhanced precision, reduced invasiveness, and improved therapeutic outcomes. Nanorobots enable interactions at the cellular and molecular levels, allowing for interventions that preserve surrounding healthy tissues and minimize collateral damage [13]. This degree of precision is especially valuable in surgeries involving delicate organs or structures, such as the brain, retina, and coronary arteries.

Minimally invasive procedures facilitated by nanorobots require smaller incisions, leading to reduced bleeding, lower infection rates, decreased postoperative pain, and faster recovery times [14]. By accessing regions that are otherwise unreachable by traditional tools, nanorobots expand the scope of treatable conditions, offering hope for patients with diseases previously deemed inoperable.

Additionally, nanorobots often feature integrated biosensors capable of monitoring physiological parameters, detecting abnormal tissue signatures, and providing real-time feedback during surgery [15]. This enhances intraoperative decision-making, enabling dynamic adjustments to the surgical plan based on immediate biological responses. Automated or semi-autonomous functionalities further reduce the margin for human error.

Another critical advantage is the capacity for localized therapeutic delivery. By administering drugs or biomolecules directly to the site of disease, nanorobots improve the efficacy of treatments while minimizing systemic exposure and side effects. This targeted approach is particularly beneficial in oncology and neurology, where traditional drug delivery methods often fall short due to the blood-brain barrier or poor vascularization of tumor tissues.

Challenges and Limitations

Despite their transformative potential, nanorobots face several significant challenges and limitations before achieving routine clinical use. Biocompatibility remains a primary concern, as materials used in constructing nanorobots must avoid triggering immune responses or causing long-term toxicity [16]. Achieving consistent, safe integration of nanorobots with biological systems, particularly over extended periods, continues to pose a major hurdle.

Controlling and navigating nanorobots within the dynamic and complex environment of the human body presents another significant challenge [17]. Precise manipulation at the nanoscale is limited by current imaging and guidance technologies, which must be capable of tracking these devices in real-time without compromising patient safety. Sophisticated external control systems, such as magnetic field arrays or ultrasound equipment, require substantial infrastructure and technical expertise.

Manufacturing nanorobots with consistent, reproducible properties on a large scale remains difficult due to the intricate engineering involved at such small dimensions. Ensuring operational longevity and energy autonomy for nanorobots operating within the human body is also problematic, as conventional power sources are impractical at this scale [18].

Ethical and regulatory concerns further complicate the clinical adoption of nanorobots. Issues related to patient consent, long-term safety, autonomous decision-making, and the potential for unforeseen biological interactions require careful consideration by regulatory agencies and healthcare providers. Robust clinical trials and a clear regulatory framework will be essential to ensure the responsible integration of this technology into mainstream medical practice.

Current Research and Developments

Current research in nanorobotics for surgical instruments is advancing rapidly, supported by breakthroughs in materials science, bioengineering, and imaging technologies. Efforts are concentrated on developing biocompatible, functionalized nanoparticles and biodegradable polymers that can safely operate within the human body. Researchers are exploring magnetically and acoustically guided nanorobots for targeted surgical applications, particularly in oncology and cardiovascular medicine.

Significant progress has been made in combining nanorobots with MEMS devices and developing biohybrid systems that integrate living cells or bacterial flagella for propulsion and environmental responsiveness. These biohybrid robots offer improved biocompatibility and adaptability within biological systems, increasing their potential for safe and effective clinical use.

Advanced imaging techniques, including real-time MRI, optical coherence tomography, and fluorescence-based tracking, have improved the ability to monitor and control nanorobots during surgical procedures. The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms allows for enhanced predictive modeling of nanorobot behavior, optimizing navigation paths and task execution.

Research consortia and interdisciplinary collaborations are focusing on clinical translation, with early-phase trials underway for nanorobots in applications such as targeted thrombolysis, tumor ablation, and vascular repair. These developments indicate a promising trajectory for the incorporation of nanorobots into mainstream surgical practice.

Future Prospects

Looking forward, the future of nanorobots in surgical instruments is exceptionally promising. Ongoing advances in nanomaterials and biomedical engineering will lead to the creation of smarter, safer, and more versatile nanorobots with enhanced biocompatibility and functionality. Integration with AI-driven surgical platforms will enable fully or semi-autonomous procedures, offering dynamic, personalized surgical interventions based on real-time patient data and intraoperative imaging.

The potential for theranostic nanorobots, capable of both

diagnosing and treating pathological conditions in a single surgical session, represents a significant innovation. These multifunctional devices could identify abnormalities at the cellular level and immediately initiate therapeutic action, dramatically improving surgical outcomes and reducing the need for multiple interventions.

As regulatory frameworks evolve and clinical evidence accumulates, nanorobots are likely to become standard tools in complex surgical fields such as neurosurgery, cardiology, and oncology. The long-term vision includes fully autonomous nanorobots capable of performing entire microsurgeries without direct human control, as well as remote-controlled systems for use in tele-surgery, potentially expanding access to specialized care in remote or underserved regions.

Conclusions

Nanorobots integrated into surgical instruments represent one of the most significant technological advancements in contemporary medical science. Their ability to operate with molecular-level precision, access previously unreachable anatomical sites, and provide real-time intraoperative feedback offers unparalleled advantages over conventional surgical techniques. While significant challenges related to biocompatibility, control, and regulatory acceptance remain, ongoing research continues to address these barriers through innovative material design, biohybrid technologies, and AI integration. As these obstacles are progressively overcome, nanorobots are poised to redefine surgical practice, ushering in an era of highly personalized, efficient, and minimally invasive medical care.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

1. MURUGAN R. NANOROBOTS AND ITS ADVANCEMENTS IN MEDICAL FIELD. *i-Manager's Journal on Augmented & Virtual Reality (JAVR)*. 2024;2(1). <https://doi.org/10.26634/javr.2.1.20510>
2. Loizidou M, Seifalian AM. Nanotechnology and its applications in surgery. *Journal of British Surgery*. 2010;97(4):463-465. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.7074>
3. MURUGAN R, KRITHINA P. THE OCCUPATIONAL DIRECTNESS OF NANOROBOTS IN MEDICAL SURGERIES. *i-Manager's Journal on Mechanical Engineering*. 2024;14(2). Available at: https://openurl.ebsco.com/EPDB%3Agcd%3A15%3A5495119/detail?sid=ebsco%3Aplink%3Ascholar&id=ebsco%3Agcd%3A180184968&crl=c&link_origin=scholar.google.com
4. Sun T, Chen J, Zhang J, Zhao Z, Zhao Y, Sun J, Chang H. Application of micro/nanorobot in medicine. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*. 2024;12:1347312. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2024.1347312>
5. Tiwari MM, Reynoso JF, Lehman AC, Tsang AW, Farritor SM, Oleynikov D. In vivo miniature robots for natural orifice surgery: State of the art and future perspectives. *World journal of gastrointestinal surgery*. 2010;2(6):217. <https://doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v2.i6.217>
6. Leong TG, Randall CL, Benson BR, Bassik N, Stern GM, Gracias DH. Tetherless thermobiochemically actuated microgrippers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2009;106(3):703-708. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0807698106>
7. Qiu Z, Piyawattanametha W. MEMS-based medical endomicroscopes. *IEEE Journal of selected topics in quantum electronics*. 2015;21(4):376-391. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTQE.2015.2389530>
8. Dubey AK, Miles L. Nanorobots: A Developmental Tool for the

Treatment of Cancer.

9. Kavousinejad S. Simulation of Nanorobots with Artificial Intelligence and Reinforcement Learning for Advanced Cancer Cell Detection and Tracking. arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.02345. 2024.
10. Wang Q, Du X, Jin D, Zhang L. Real-time ultrasound doppler tracking and autonomous navigation of a miniature helical robot for accelerating thrombolysis in dynamic blood flow. *ACS nano*. 2022;16(1):604-616. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.1c07830?goto=supporting-info>
11. Elder JB, Liu CY, Apuzzo ML. Neurosurgery in the Realm of 10– 9, Part 2: Applications of Nanotechnology to Neurosurgery—Present and Future. *Neurosurgery*. 2008 ;62(2):269-285. <https://doi/10.1227/01.neu.0000315995.73269.c3>
12. Smith WR, Hudson PW, Ponce BA, Rajaram Manoharan SR. Nanotechnology in orthopedics: a clinically oriented review. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*. 2018;19:1-0. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-018-1990-1>
13. Panda V, Saindane A, Pandey A. Nanobots: Revolutionising the Next Generation of Biomedical Technology and Drug Therapy. *Current Drug Therapy*. 2024;19(4):403-412. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1574885518666230726123433>
14. Ouyang J, Xie A, Zhou J, Liu R, Wang L, Liu H, Kong N, Tao W. Minimally invasive nanomedicine: nanotechnology in photo-/ultrasound-/radiation-/magnetism-mediated therapy and imaging. *Chemical Society Reviews*. 2022;51(12):4996-5041. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CS01148K>
15. Li J, Esteban-Fernández de Ávila B, Gao W, Zhang L, Wang J. Micro/nanorobots for biomedicine: Delivery, surgery, sensing, and detoxification. *Science robotics*. 2017;2(4):eaam6431. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scirobotics.aam6431>
16. Kyriakides TR, Raj A, Tseng TH, Xiao H, Nguyen R, Mohammed FS, et al. Biocompatibility of nanomaterials and their immunological properties. *Biomedical Materials*. 2021;16(4):042005.
17. Li T, Chang X, Wu Z, Li J, Shao G, Deng X, et al. Autonomous collision-free navigation of microvehicles in complex and dynamically changing environments. *ACS Nano*. 2017;11(9): 9268-9275. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acsnano.7b04525>
18. Sankar KM. Powering nanorobotic devices: challenges and future strategies. In *Nanosensors, Biosensors, and Info-Tech Sensors and Systems 2014*;9060:157-163). SPIE. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2053719>